

RULES EDUCATION

New Legislation Update/Major Violation Review

FEBRUARY 2013





NEW LEGISLATION UPDATE

The Big 10 is specifically concerned with the following three proposals and ask that they be tabled along with Proposal 13-2:

- **Proposal 11-2: Athletics Personnel:** Limitations on the Number and Duties of Coaches Elimination of Recruiting Coordination Functions
- **Proposal 13-3: Recruiting:** Deregulation of Modes and Numerical Limitations on Communication
- **Proposal 13-5-A: Recruiting:** Elimination of Printed Recruiting Materials and Video/Audio Legislation

The Big 10 has serious concerns whether these proposals, as currently written, are in the best interest of high school student-athletes, their families and their coaches. The Big 10 is also concerned about the adverse effect they would have on college coaches, administrators and university resources.



School: Southern Mississippi Date Released: January 30, 2013 Sport: Men's Tennis



Facts of the case:

- This case centers on the actions of two coaches in the men's tennis program.
- The then head men's tennis coach and the then assistant men's tennis coach demonstrated a disregard for ethical conduct for the purpose of keeping a highly recruited student-athlete in the program.
- The coaches promised former student-athlete 1 a substantial sum of cash and an automobile.



Additional facts of the case:

- The former head coach also arranged for academic fraud by facilitating another student-athlete to write a paper for former student-athlete 1, and the former assistant coach provided a paper for former student-athlete 1.
- Both coaches compounded the seriousness of the violations by trying to conceal them from the institution and from the enforcement staff.
- The former assistant coach interfered with the enforcement staff's investigation by directing a student-athlete to provide false or misleading information during the investigation.



- The findings in this case fall into two areas:
 - (1) the head tennis coach's and assistant tennis coach's unethical conduct; and
 - (2) the institution's failure to monitor its tennis program.



1. Public reprimand and censure.

2. Four years of probation from January 30, 2013, through January 29, 2017. (The institution had proposed a two-year probationary period for the men's tennis program).

3. One-year postseason ban in men's tennis. (Institution imposed)

4. The institution's tennis program shall be prohibited from participating in a foreign tour pursuant to Bylaw 17.28 until 2016.

5. The institution will engage an outside organization to conduct a compliance review at the earliest possible date, currently scheduled for January 2013. (Institution imposed)



6. The institution will vacate 22 team wins and 276 individual wins, to include all wins in which former student-athlete 1 and former student-athlete 2 competed while ineligible during the 2008-09 through 2009-10 men's tennis seasons.

7. Former Head Coach receives a 7-year "show cause" order

8. Former Assistant Coach receives a 6-year "show cause" order



9. Improve Compliance Policies

- Develop an education program
- Monitor and approve all expenses

- Establish a faculty compliance committee to address emerging issues, including student-athletes academic integrity.

- Conduct monthly athletics director-led staff/coach "inform and influence" educational and situational awareness sessions focused on compliance related case studies and other emerging issues



9. Improve Compliance Policies (continued)

- Publish a monthly university-wide compliance newsletter designed to inform the campus regarding compliance matters of institutional interest.

- Require all new full-time athletics department employees to participate in a mandatory compliance orientation session within a reasonable time of their date of initial employment

- Implement an annual compliance certification testing process for all members of the coaching and administrative staff